

PAPER – 5: STRATEGIC COST MANAGEMENT AND PERFORMANCE EVALUATION

CASE STUDY

Value Chain Analysis, Balanced Scorecard, KPI

1. You are the Finance Manager of DP Limited which is in the business of manufacturing wire rods. A division in the company manufactures copper wire rods from a single manufacturing plant in Central India. The division purchases raw material (copper cathodes) from various suppliers across the country. The cathodes are melted and wire rods of various dimensions are produced. Each batch of wire rods produced are tested for quality and strength.

The wire rods are stored in rolls in the warehouse and dispatched in company owned trucks as per the requirement of the customers. The customers are required to pay 50% of invoice value as advance and balance 50% within 30 days of delivery of goods. The company prices its copper wire rods based on the price prevailing on London Metal Exchange after adjusting it with a factor to cover conversion costs and profits.

The company explores newer markets by advertising in national dailies and participating in various industrial events in India as well as abroad. An annual conference of customers is conducted by the company to improve customer relationships and attract newer customers. The customers have right to return the material if quality specifications are not met. There is a separate team to handle such complaints.

The following email was sent by the Chief Financial Officer of the company to you.

From: Chief Financial Officer
To: Finance Manager
Subject – Commodity Price Fluctuation

The board is quite aware of foreign exchange fluctuation related risks. However, they are not much aware of risks related to fluctuation in commodity prices. The prices of copper which are used to manufacture copper wire rods have fallen down by over 20% in the last six months owing to global factors.

The procurement team of Copper Wire Division has been waiting for the right time to buy these metals as they expect the prices to fall down further. However, we are at a verge of stock-out of these metals as no purchase was made in the last one month.

The bonus of procurement team largely depends on the annual savings as compared to the budgeted cost of purchase. I am not happy with the approach of speculation and making profits out of price fluctuation in raw materials. Could you highlight the issues related with our performance measurement mechanism and suggest how it could be improved?

Regards
Chief Financial Officer

Attachment:
Copper Prices Quoted on LME



Required

- (i) EXPLAIN and IDENTIFY the various primary activities of Copper Division.
- (ii) DISCUSS the issues with performance measure in force in the company.
- (iii) ADVISE an alternate performance measure and Identify Key Performance Indicators (KPI).

Six Sigma and Cost of Quality

2. Absolute Singapore Pte Ltd. (ASPL) manufactures electronic components for washing machines in an assembly line. Recent market survey reports indicate erosion of its clientele. Feedback taken from customers suggest that the company's products were not of good quality. ASPL is concerned because its competitors have been able to achieve zero defect performance in terms of nil sale returns on account of quality and nil subsequent warranty cost. Therefore, the competitors enjoy huge customer loyalty.

To satisfy its customers, the company ASPL wants to improve its product quality. Consequently, it has decided to undertake Six Sigma study of its operations.

Below is the additional information given about ASPL's operations:

Yearly sales of electronic components are 25,000 units at ₹20,000 each. Of these, 1% sales are returned due to quality issues. These are scrapped and a replacement is made by the company. In addition, each product is under warranty for one year after sale. If a claim is accepted under warranty, service and replacement of parts is done free of cost. Current yearly warranty claims (these are separate from sales returns), which is also representative of the average yearly warranty claims, amount to ₹30,00,000 per annum.

PAPER – 5 : STRATEGIC COST MANAGEMENT AND PERFORMANCE EVALUATION 3

Quality control check and inspection is carried out directly at the assembly line. There is no quality check done at any other point in the entire work flow. Total time spent on inspection is 2,000 hours in a year which costs the company ₹10,00,000 per annum. Inspection leads to 10% rejection i.e. 2,525 units. These units require only one cycle of rework, after which they are ready for sale. Rate of rework in the units rejected on inspection at the assembly line is 5 units in 1 hour. Cost of rework is ₹6,250 per hour.

The variable cost of electronic component is ₹12,500.

The Six Sigma team as part of its study found that rework on products was mainly due to the following reasons:

- (1) Assembly line workers, including new hires, learnt on the job as to how to assemble the input material to produce the final electronic component. This lead to many errors due to lack of proper standardized training. Therefore, on account of these errors, the entire electronic component has to assembled again.
- (2) Sub-standard quality of raw material is detected on inspection only at the assembly line. By this time, the defective material is already fitted into the final electronic component. Therefore, entire component has to be reworked upon to replace the defective raw material input.
- (3) Machines are outdated and are not entirely suitable for the current production methodology.

Proposed solutions to tackle these issues are as follows:

- (1) Provide *training to assembly line workers* to train them on the production methodology. This training is expected to standardize work flow, thereby reducing errors. Such training programs will be held regularly to update the workers on new methodologies. These programs can also serve as employee feedback sessions about the actual working conditions at the assembly line. This two-way communication can improve and streamline the production process. Brainstorming can help detect or give heads up about potential problems in the production process. Total training hours in a year are expected to be 5,000 hours, costing ₹1,000 each hour.
- (2) Currently poor quality of raw material input is detected only on inspection at the assembly line. This results in wastage of resources in terms of material, time and capacity. In addition to the existing inspection at the assembly line, a *new functional area for quality planning and improvement* is proposed to be set up. At the time of procurement, the department will determine the appropriate quality of raw material input, ensure that suppliers supply material as per these requirements as well as suggest alternatives that can help improve product quality. By ensuring quality of raw materials at the beginning of the production process, wastage of resources is

reduced, if not can be eliminated. Cost of setting up such a facility will be ₹1,50,00,000. In addition to this facility, inspection will continue at the assembly line. This ensures complete quality check during the entire production cycle. At the same time, due to the introduction of this new functionality for quality control, the pressure on resources for inspection at the assembly line would reduce.

- (3) Current machines should be replaced entirely with *new machines*. Old machines can be sold for negligible amount as scrap. New machines would cost ₹3,60,00,000 having a life of three years.

Implementation of the above three solutions can have the following impact:

- Rework of products can be entirely eliminated.
- Sale returns will reduce from 1% to 0% due to better quality of products.
- Yearly warranty claims will reduce from ₹30,00,000 to nil per annum.
- With the introduction of the new facility, time required for inspection at the assembly line would reduce from 2,000 hours to 1,200 hours. Cost of inspection to do quality check at the assembly line would reduce from ₹10,00,000 per annum to ₹600,000 per annum.
- Due to better quality, ASPL can build better reputation with the customers which can further yield additional sales of 5,000 units per year.

Required

You are the management accountant at ASPL. As part of the Six Sigma project implementation team, you are requested to EVALUATE proposals suggested by the Six Sigma team. The team has used the DMAIC technique to assess quality improvements.

*****CASE SCENARIO*****

Decision Making

3. Aayla runs the Planetarium Station in New Delhi, India. The strength of the station lies in its live interactions and programs for visitors, students and amateur astronomers. The station is always active with programs for school and college students and for amateur astronomers. One of the station's key attractions is a big screen IMAX theatre. IMAX is a 70 mm motion picture film format which shows images of far greater size and resolution than traditional film systems. The IMAX cinema projection standards were developed in Canada in the late 1960s. Unlike traditional projectors, the film is run horizontally so that the image width is greater than the width of the film.

The average IMAX show at the station attracts 120 visitors (50 children and 70 adults) at a ticket price of ₹160 for children and ₹200 for adults. Aayla estimates that the running costs per IMAX show are ₹10,000. In addition, fixed costs of ₹7,500 are allocated to each show based on annual estimate of the number of IMAX shows.

PAPER – 5 : STRATEGIC COST MANAGEMENT AND PERFORMANCE EVALUATION 5

The Hobart School has approached Aayla about scheduling an extra show for its class VIII students. One hundred students and five teachers are expected to join the special show on the 'Planets & Solar System', a feature that is currently showing. The school has asked Aayla for a price quote. The special show will take place at 08:30 AM when the IMAX is not usually open.

Required

RECOMMEND the minimum amount that Aayla should charge.

Balanced Scorecard

4. Your Bank Ltd., was established on the 30th September, 1940 under the provisions of Co-operative Societies Act by the eminent professionals to encourage self-help, thrift, cooperation among members. Bank was issued Banking License under Banking Regulation Act, 1949 on October 25, 1986 to carry out the Banking Business within the national capital and since then the Bank has been growing continuously. At present, Bank has large number of membership of individuals from different sections. The Bank has 12 branches in the NCT of Delhi. Bank offers 'traditional counter service'. Opening hours are designed to coincide with local market days.

Board of Directors were worried from growing popularity of new style banks. These banks offer diverse range of services such as direct access to executive management, a single point of contact to coordinate all banking needs, appointment banking to save time, free online banking services 24/7, free unlimited ATM access etc.

It has now been decided that the bank will focus on "What Customers Want" and will use a balanced scorecard to achieve this goal.

Required

PRODUCE, for each of the three non-financial perspectives of a 'Balanced Scorecard', an objective and a performance measure that the bank could use with appropriate reason.

5S

5. Y & E Chartered Accountants offers a wide range of specialized, multi-disciplinary professional services that meet the immediate as well as the long-term business needs of clients. One of partner 'E' was upset with office documentation. 'E' argued that a document management solution is needed to maximize efficiency within the firm. The senior partner 'Y' has recently attended a seminar on lean system and heard the '5S'. He said that old files hide the key files from the eye and forces staff to ask which to use. Accordingly, he desires to implement '5S'.

Required

ADVISE on implementation of '5S' in Y & E.

TQM

6. CIMZ is a new banking company which is about to open its first branch in INDIA. CIMZ believes that in order to win customers from the market, it needs to offer potential customers a new banking experience. Other banking companies are focusing on interest rates and bank charges, whereas CIMZ believes that quality and timely availability of service is an important factor to attract customers.

Required

EXPLAIN how Total Quality Management would enable CIMZ to gain competitive advantage in the banking sector.

Pricing Strategy

7. Swift Tech Ltd. (STL) is a leading IT security solutions and ISO 9001 certified company. The solutions are well integrated systems that simplify IT security management across the length and depth of devices and on multiple platforms. STL has recently developed an Antivirus Software and company expects to have life cycle of less than one year. It was decided that it would be appropriate to adopt a market skimming pricing policy for the launch of the product. This Software is currently in the Introduction stage of its life cycle and is generating significant unit profits.

Required

- (i) EXPLAIN, with reasons, the changes, if any, to the unit selling price that could occur when the Software moves from the Introduction stage to Growth stage of its life cycle.
- (ii) Also IDENTIFY necessary strategies at this stage.

*****QUESTIONS*******Customer Profitability Analysis**

8. Bookmark LLP is a publishing firm that started operations very recently. The firm has published "Advanced Learner's Dictionary" this first year, that have been sold to 3 distributors PER, MGH and WLY. The firm's financials reflect profits in its first year of operations. The management is pleased with the results. However, they are interested in finding out how profitable each customer is. This would help them formulate their sales strategy.

Particulars	PER	MGH	WLY
Sales units p.a.	1,000	950	1,250
Sale price (gross)	250	250	250
Payment terms	3/10 net 30	net 30	3/10 net 30
Sales returns	0.5%	0%	10%
Delivery terms	FOB destination	FOB destination	FOB shipping point

PAPER – 5 : STRATEGIC COST MANAGEMENT AND PERFORMANCE EVALUATION 7

In order to get market share, PER and WLY have been extended credit terms to avail discount if payment is made within 10 days. Customer MGH does not have much bargaining power and hence has been allowed only 30 days' credit period without any benefit of availing discount for early payment. Both PER and WLY have made payments within 10 days to avail of the discount extended.

On the cost front, variable cost of goods sold is ₹150 per unit. Key metrics of customer assignable marketing, administrative and distribution costs are as below:

Activity	Activity Driver	No. of Units of Activity Driver			Cost Driver Rate (₹)
		PER	MGH	WLY	
Order taking and processing	# of orders	4	2	15	300
Expedited / rush orders	# of orders	1	-	5	250
Delivery costs	# distance in km.	100	50	-	80
Sale return processing	# of returns	1	-	8	150
Billing cost	# of invoices	4	2	15	50
Customer visit	# of visits	1	-	5	800
Inventory carrying cost *	# 1 per unit	1,000	950	1,250	10

* Assume no opening and closing stock

Fixed cost that are not assignable to any customer is ₹1,00,000 p.a.

Required

- PREPARE the customer wise profitability statement as also the overall profitability statement of Bookmark LLP.
- RECOMMEND a strategy for Bookmark LLP regarding its customers.

Target Costing

- Storewell Industries Ltd. manufactures standard heavy duty steel storage racks for industrial use. Each storage rack is sold for ₹750 each. The company produces 10,000 racks per annum. Relevant cost data per annum are as follows:

Cost Component	Budget	Actual	Actual Cost p.a. (₹)
Direct Material	5,00,000 sq. ft.	5,20,000 sq. ft.	20,00,000
Direct Labour	90,000 hrs.	1,00,000 hrs.	10,00,000
Machine Setup	15,000 hrs.	15,000 hrs.	1,50,000
Mechanical Assembly	200,000 hrs.	200,000 hrs.	30,00,000

The actual and budgeted operating levels are the same. Actual and standard rates of material procurement and hourly labor rate are also the same. Any variance in cost is solely on account of difference in the material usage and hours required to complete production. Aggressive pricing from competitors has driven down sales. A comparable rack is available in the market for ₹675 each. Vishal, the marketing manager has determined that in order to maintain the company's existing market share of 10,000 racks, Storewell Industries must reduce the price of each rack to ₹675.

Required

- (i) CALCULATE the current cost and profit per unit. IDENTIFY the non-value added activities in the production process.
- (ii) CALCULATE the new target cost per unit for a sales price of ₹675 if the profit per unit is maintained.
- (iii) RECOMMEND what strategy Storewell Industries should adopt to attain target cost calculated in (ii) above.

Just in Time

10. Revolution Ltd. has entered into a contract to supply a component to a company which manufactures electronic equipments.

Expected demand for the component will be 70,000 units totally for all the periods. Expected sales and production cost will be

Period	1	2	3	4
Sales (units)	9,500	17,000	18,500	25,000
Variable cost per unit	30	30	32.50	35

Total fixed overheads are expected to be ₹14 lakhs for all the periods.

The production manager has to decide about the production plan.

The choices are:

Plan 1: Produce at a constant rate of 17,500 units per period. Inventory holding costs will be ₹ 6.50 per unit of average inventory per period.

Plan 2: Use a just-in-Time (JIT) system

Maximum capacity per period normally.....18,000 units

It can produce further up to 10,000 units per period in overtime.

Each unit produced in overtime would incur additional cost equal to 30% of the expected variable cost per unit of that period.

Assume zero opening inventory.

Required

- (i) CALCULATE the incremental production cost and the savings in inventory holding cost by JIT production system.
- (ii) ADVISE the company on the choice of a plan.

Transfer Pricing

11. Maryanne Ltd. has two divisions Division A and Division B. Division A produces product Z, which it sells to external market and also to Division B. Divisions in the Maryanne Ltd. are treated as profit centres and divisions are given autonomy to set transfer prices and to choose their supplier. Performance of each division measured on the basis of target profit given for each period.

Division A can produce 1,00,000 units of product Z at full capacity. Demand for product Z in the external market is for 70,000 units only at selling price of ₹ 2,500 per unit. To produce product Z Division A incurs ₹ 1,600 as variable cost per unit and total fixed overhead of ₹ 4,00,00,000. Division A has employed ₹ 12,00,00,000 as working capital, working capital is financed by cash credit facility provided by its lender bank @ 11.50% p.a. Division A has been given a profit target of ₹ 2,50,00,000 for the year.

Division B has found two other suppliers R Ltd and S Ltd. who are agreed to supply product Z.

Division B has requested a quotation for 40,000 units of product Z from Division A.

Required

- (i) CALCULATE the transfer price per unit of product Z that Division A should quote in order to meet target profit for the year.
- (ii) CALCULATE the two prices Division A would have to quote to Division B, if it became Maryanne Ltd. policy to quote transfer prices based on opportunity costs.

TPM

12. SSK Pharmaceuticals Ltd. is producing medication products (pills, balms etc.) and can be called high volume based production environment. There are several different automated production machines located in the plant, through which production of medicines is accomplished and fulfilled the demands. Plant operates in double shift a day each consisting of 8 hours with 30 minutes' lunch break and tea break of 15 minutes. Following data pertains to automated machine 'X-78'.

X-78

14 February 2018, Wednesday

Breakdown, repair and start up time	68 minutes
Standard cycle time	2.5 minutes per tablet
Quality loss due to scrap, rework and rejection	50 tablets
Total quantity produced	280 tablets

Required

COMMENT on OEE.

ROI vs RI

13. The following data pertain to two divisions. W_1 and W_2 , of a large Shipping Company.

	W_1 (₹)	W_2 (₹)
Profit	1,20,00,000	31,20,000
Investment	9,60,00,000	1,56,00,000

Cost of Capital at 10%

Required

INTERPRET the conflicting results based on financial performance measure ROI and RI.

Theory of Constraints

14. Z Plus Security (ZPS) manufactures surveillance camera equipment that are sold to various office establishments. The firm also installs the equipment at the client's place to ensure that it works properly. Each camera is sold for ₹2,500. Direct material cost of ₹1,000 for each camera is the only variable cost. All other costs are fixed. Below is the information for manufacturing and installation of this equipment:

Particulars	Manufacture	Installation
Annual Capacity (camera units)	750	500
Actual Yearly Production and Installation (camera units)	500	500

Required

The questions below are separate scenarios and are not related to each other.

- IDENTIFY the bottleneck in the operation cycle that ZPS should focus on improving. Give reasoning for your answer.
- An improvement in the installation technique could increase the number of installations to 550 camera units. This would involve total additional expenditure of ₹40,000. ADVISE ZPS whether they should implement this technique?

PAPER – 5 : STRATEGIC COST MANAGEMENT AND PERFORMANCE EVALUATION 11

- (iii) Engineers have identified ways to improve manufacturing technique that would increase production by 150 camera units. This would involve a cost ₹100 per camera unit due to necessary changes to made in direct materials. ADVISE ZPS whether they should implement this new technique.

Standard Costing

15. T-tech is a Taiwan based firm, that designs, develops, and sells audio equipment. Founded in 1975 by Mr. Boss, firm sells its products throughout the world. T-tech is best known for its home audio systems and speakers, noise cancelling headphones, professional audio systems and automobile sound systems. Extracts from the budget are shown in the following table:

Home Audio System Division Jan'2018

System	Sales (units)	Selling Price ₹	Standard Cost (per System) ₹
3,000 W PMPO	1,500	18,750	12,500
5,000 W PMPO	500	50,000	26,250

The Managing Director has sent you a copy of an email he received from the Sales Manager 'K'. The content of the email was as follows:

"We have had an outstanding month. There was an adverse Sales Price Variance on the 3,000 W PMPO Systems of ₹22,50,000 but I compensated for that by raising the price of 5,000 W PMPO Systems. Unit sales of 3,000 W PMPO Systems were as expected but sales of the 5,000 W PMPOs were exceptional and gave a Sales Margin Volume Variance of ₹23,75,000. I think I deserve a bonus!"

The managing Director has asked for your opinion on these figures. You got the following information:

Actual results for Jan' 2018 were:

System	Sales (units)	Selling Price ₹
3,000 W PMPO	1,500	₹17,250
5,000 W PMPO	600	₹53,750

The total market demand for 3,000 W PMPO Systems was as budgeted but as a result of suppliers reducing the price of supporting UHD TV System the total market for 5,000 W PMPO Systems raised by 50% in Jan'2018.

The company had sufficient capacity to meet the revised market demand for 750 units of its 5,000 W PMPO Systems and therefore maintained its market share.

Required

- (i) CALCULATE the following Operational Variances based on the revised market details:
- Sales Margin Mix Variance
 - Sales Margin Volume Variance
- (ii) COMMENT briefly on the measurement of the K's performance.

SUGGESTED ANSWERS/HINTS

1. (i) Value chain is defined as "a chain of value added activities; products pass through the activities in a chain, gaining value at each stage". Value chain focuses on systems, and how business inputs are changed into business outputs purchased by customers. The entire set of activities that a business undertakes to convert inputs to outputs are interlinked to each other.

Porter's value chain classifies activities into primary activity and secondary activity.

Primary Activities

Primary activities are those activities that are directly related with creating and delivering a product to the end customers. The following activities are considered as primary activities:

Inbound Logistics

Inbound logistics involves arranging inbound movement of materials from suppliers to the manufacturing plants. The activities related to inbound logistics in the case of copper division of DP limited would involve transporting copper cathodes from multiple suppliers across the country and storing them in the warehouse. The cathodes stored in warehouse would be issued to the production facilities depending on the requirement of the production plants.

Operations

Operations involve those activities which are concerned with conversion of input into outputs in case of manufacturing companies. The activities under operations would include those related to melting of copper cathode and converting the copper cathodes into wire rods. The quality tests carried out for wire rods would also be included as a part of operations.

Outbound Logistics

These include planning and despatch, distribution management, transportation, warehousing, and order fulfilment. This includes warehousing of finished goods (copper wire rods) and distribution of copper wire rods to its customers. The

PAPER – 5 : STRATEGIC COST MANAGEMENT AND PERFORMANCE EVALUATION 13

company uses its own trucks to distribute finished goods to its customers. The scheduling of trucks and dispatch of material would also be a part of outbound logistics.

Marketing & Sales

Marketing and sales are the means whereby consumers and customers are made aware of the product which is ultimately sold to them. The activities include selling products to the end customers covering activities like product management, price management, promotion and marketing management. DP limited uses advertisement in national dailies and holds conferences as a part of its marketing and sales efforts. The company also holds annual customer conference to improve customer relations and attract new customers.

Service

In case of manufacturing industry, service generally refers to the after sales service which are required to maintain the value of product and includes activities like installation, repair etc. The service team is also expected to handle customer returns on account of poor quality of copper wire rods.

(ii) What is the issue?

A procurement team is generally a cost centre and the most appropriate way to evaluate performance of cost centre is the comparison between actual cost and budgeted cost (also called variance). A large portion of bonus (performance measurement) is dependent on the savings in actual purchases.

The company has adopted variance analysis as a measure of performance. If the team is able to reduce the actual cost of purchase as compared to the budgeted cost, a higher bonus is paid. The procurement team has stopped purchase of copper cathodes to save on the purchase budget which ultimately would translate into higher payout of bonus.

The commodity prices of copper have fallen by about 20% in the last six months. The speculation of fall in price has resulted in halting of procurement process. It is very difficult to time the market and such speculation could lead to losses to the company. There could be a stock-out situation if the procurement is not resumed and the situation could hamper the production and overall delivery schedules.

The procurement team appears to have taken a short- term view of price movement. The team is focused on earning higher bonus and hence is waiting to buy at lower prices. There is a larger impact of not being able to deliver product on time which could damage the reputation of the company. This has been ignored by the procurement team. Managers must be encouraged to consider the impact on the company as a whole and not on just the own department.

The company is using just a financial measure to measure performance. This can result in lopsided view of the goals and objectives of the company. Managers tend to look at short term profits and ignore the long- term growth.

Optimum Performance Measurement

A performance measurement is most effective when the goals of the respective departments are aligned with that of the company. This ensures that each employee within the company works towards the overall objective of the company. The company manufactures wire rods and the objective of the copper division is to manufacture copper wire rods as per the requirement of the customers.

The profit flows from the main business of the company. If a department focusses on an objective which is not aligned with the main goal, the company as a whole suffers. A stock-out like situation would hamper the image of a company, if wire rods are not delivered as per schedule to the customers.

Another aspect to be considered is that managers and employees are evaluated only on those parameters which are controlled by them. If for example, the procurement team is able to purchase copper at a discount to market price because of their efforts, it could be considered as saving.

The prices of copper are determined by the prices on commodity exchanges and are not in the control of procurement managers. The performance of managers and employees should not be impacted by global change in prices of commodities as they are not controlled by the concerned employees.

(iii) Alternate Performance Measure

The issue with financial performance measures alone is that managers tend to have a short- term view as can be seen in our case. In order overcome possible short-termism of financial measures Kaplan and Norton developed the Balanced Scorecard which outlined four key areas in which company and divisional performance should be measured to focus on both the short and long term needs of the organisation.

The key idea is that managers are to be appraised on a variety of measures which include non-financial measures so that their focus is both long and short term. The four perspectives used to measure performance measure in a Balanced Scorecard is given below:

Financial Perspective: This measures the financial performance which is linked to the overall objective of maximising shareholder's wealth. We already use financial measures to measure performance. The weightage could be reduced to include other measures. Also, factors beyond the control of managers like commodity prices should be excluded.

PAPER – 5 : STRATEGIC COST MANAGEMENT AND PERFORMANCE EVALUATION 15

Customer Perspective: This includes focussing on customers and meeting their needs. Measures could include quality of material produced, optimum levels of inventory maintained, number of stock-out instances, etc.

Internal Business Perspective: This includes measures to evaluate the performance of business processes with particular emphasis on productivity and efficiency. Measures could include procurement lead time, number of defective purchases etc. The company could use measures like JIT to reduce the procurement lead time.

Training and Growth: This includes focusing on innovating in processes and developing and learning for the future. Trainings could be given to procurement managers to identify best quality of copper cathodes, aspects related to purity etc.

2. DMAIC technique analyses operational problems by assessing them in the following phases (1) Define; (2) Measure; (3) Analyze; (4) Improve and (6) Control.

- (1) *Define the problem, project goals and customer requirements:* Poor quality leading to erosion of clientele.

Customers feedback indicates that product quality requires improvement. Dissatisfaction is reflected in the form of sale returns and warranty claims. Competitors have no sale returns on account of poor quality as well as no warranty claims on its products. Hence, in an environment where 100% quality can be achieved, **ASPL is facing quality issues**. This is the problem to be addressed. Failure to do so would result in loss of clientele, leading to a possibility of going out of business. The goal of the project is to identify what is the sigma level at which the company is operating and to suggest improvements to the production process it achieve 6σ level of operations.

- (2) *Measure current performance:* Indicators of poor quality to find out what is the sigma level of the current operations?

Current performance focusing on quality can be determined based on the cost incurred in the following phases:

- (a) **Sale returns:** Sale returns are 1% of total sales. Gross sales are 25,000 units per annum at selling price of ₹20,000 each, therefore having a value of ₹50,00,00,000. Sales returns @1% amount to ₹50,00,000 that represent the return of 250 units per annum. The cost of poor quality on account of these sale returns is the variable cost of the product ₹ 12,500 per unit. This is an avoidable cost amounting to ₹31,25,000 per annum that is 0.63% of sales (₹31,25,000/₹ 50,00,00,000).
- (b) **Warranty claims:** Warranty is an undertaking given by the company to repair the electronic component free of cost if defect occurs within a specific period of time. Hence, when the customer files a claim that is accepted by the company, it means that there has been an issue with the quality of the product. This is a

liability / cost that should ideally be kept minimum, if not nil like ASPL's competitors.

Warranty for the product is for one year from the date of sale. Warranty claims this year is ₹30,00,000, which is given to be representative of the average yearly warranty cost. Therefore, currently this cost amount to 0.60% of sales (₹30,00,000/ ₹50,00,00,000).

Summarizing sale returns and warranty claims alone represent 1.23% of current sales. Considering the current percentage of deficiency, the **company is operating between 3σ and 4σ level**. The rest of the industry is able to achieve 6 σ level of operations. At zero defective production, there are no sale returns on account of quality and no warranty claim costs. Therefore, is **tremendous scope for improvement in ASPL's operations**.

- (3) *Analyze:* What is the cause of poor quality? What is the cost of resources focused on quality?

Six sigma team studied the production process in detail. Replicating the issues detailed in the given problem:

- (a) Problem 1: Assembly line workers, including new hires, learnt on the job as to how to assemble the input material to produce the final electronic component. This lead to many errors due to lack of proper standardized training. Therefore, on account of these errors, the entire electronic component has to assembled again.
- (b) Problem 2: Sub-standard quality of raw material is detected on inspection only at the assembly line. Inspection leads to 10% rejection of units. By this time, the defective material is already fitted into the final electronic component. Therefore, to entire component has to be reworked upon to replace the defective raw material input.
- (c) Problem 3: Machines are outdated and are not entirely suitable for the current production methodology.

The above factors result in rework on products, an internal failure cost, that lead to wastage of material, resources and capacity.

Two costs incurred to focus on quality are cost of inspection and cost of rework,

2,525 units are reworked upon. Time required to rework 2,525 units per year = 2,525 units / 5 units per hour = 505 hours per year. Cost of rework is given to be ₹6,250 per hour. Therefore, total cost of rework per year = ₹31,56,250.

Inspection cost for 2,000 hours at the assembly line is given to be ₹10,00,000 per annum.

Therefore, total cost of resources currently incurred for quality = ₹41,56,250 per annum.

PAPER – 5 : STRATEGIC COST MANAGEMENT AND PERFORMANCE EVALUATION 17

(4) *Improve*: Reduce errors and improve quality of the product

While cost of resources currently incurred for quality is only 0.83% of sales (₹41,56,250/₹50,00,00,000), a detailed analysis brings forth many qualitative aspects that ASPL needs to be address. If its competitors are able to achieve excellence in quality, so must ASPL, in order to remain in business. Therefore, following are the proposals that can provide solutions to the problems referred to above:

(a) Solution to Problem 1: Periodic training sessions to educate new hires and update workers in the assembly line on the latest techniques in production. Standardized and informed working will lead to lower errors and thereby improving product quality. Cost per year = 5,000 hours yearly training × ₹1,000 per hour = ₹50,00,000.

(b) Solution to Problem 2: Delay in detection of poor quality input can be resolved by streamlining the work flow. New function for quality planning and improvement, at the beginning of the process helps in early detection, without wastage of resources. Cost per year for introducing this functionality = ₹1,50,00,000.

(c) Solution to Problem 3: Replace old machines with newer ones. Machine upgrade will align the resource with the production requirements. This reduce chances of errors in the production process.

Cost of procurement: ₹3,60,00,000 has a life of 3 years. Therefore, annual depreciation is ₹1,20,00,000.

(d) Consequences of implementing these proposals, as given in the problem, can result in the following improvements:

(i) Rework of products can be entirely eliminated.

(ii) Sale returns will reduce from 1% to 0% due to better quality of products.

(iii) Yearly Warranty claims will reduce from ₹30,00,000 to nil per annum.

(iv) With the introduction of the new facility, time required for inspection at the assembly line would reduce from 2,000 hours to 1,200 hours. Cost of inspection at the assembly line would reduce from ₹10,00,000 per annum to ₹6,00,000 per annum.

(v) Due to better quality, ASPL can build better reputation with the customers which can further yield additional sales of 5,000 units per year.

When the company is capable to achieve points (i), (ii) and (iii) milestones, it would have achieved 6 σ operational level. The cost of quality report summarizes the above discussion:

Cost of Quality Report

Cost of Quality Component	Before Improvements		After Improvements	
	Current Cost ₹	% of Sales	Projected Cost ₹	% of Sales
Preventive Cost				
Training (5,000 hrs. × ₹1,000 per hour)	xxx	xxx	50,00,000	0.83%
Quality Planning and Improvement	xxx	xxx	1,50,00,000	2.50%
Appraisal Cost				
Inspection Cost	10,00,000	0.20%	6,00,000	0.10%
Internal Failure Cost				
Rework	31,56,250	0.63%	xxx	0.00%
External Failure Cost				
Sale Returns	31,25,000	0.63%	xxx	0.00%
Warranty Claims	30,00,000	0.60%	xxx	0.00%
Total Cost of Quality	1,02,81,250	2.06%	2,06,00,000	3.43%
Yearly Sales	50,00,00,000		60,00,00,000	
Total Cost of Quality / Sales (%)	2.06%		3.43%	

- (e) Cost of quality is 2.06% of sales of which 1.23% alone is external failure cost. This has an impact on the customer experience and can erode customer base. By implementing the six sigma team's proposal, this external failure cost on account of sale returns and warranty costs, can completely eliminated. Internal failure cost can also be eliminated. The increase in cost of quality proposed to be made would be a preventive cost to avoid failure of quality. The company should focus on preventing the error such that it ensures that product is of good quality when it reaches the customer at the very first instance. This enhances the customer experience and therefore eliminating the scope for external failures like sales returns and warranty claims. Better quality can yield further sales of 5,000 units per year. Therefore, an increase in spending on quality measures is justified since it not only yields significant improvements to quality but also brings in more sales orders.

Improvement to the financial position of the firm is summarized below:

Particulars	Amount ₹
Improved Contribution Margin (Ref. note 1)	3,75,00,000

PAPER – 5 : STRATEGIC COST MANAGEMENT AND PERFORMANCE EVALUATION 19

Elimination of Goods Replacement	31,25,000
Elimination of Warranty Claims	30,00,000
Elimination of Rework	31,56,250
Savings in Inspection Cost	4,00,000
Total Benefit ... (A)	4,71,81,250
Additional Costs Incurred	
Training	50,00,000
Quality Planning and Improvement	1,50,00,000
Increase in Fixed Cost (Yearly Depreciation of Upgraded Machines)	1,20,00,000
Total Additional Cost ... (B)	3,20,00,000
Net Benefit ... (A) - (B)	1,51,81,250

Note 1: Incremental Contribution:

Sales have increased by 5,000 units. Selling Price is ₹20,000 per unit while variable cost is ₹12,500 per unit. Contribution is ₹7,500 per unit.

Conclusion: Six Sigma team's proposals are focused on preventing the error from occurring. Consequently, quality improves, sale improves and thereby can yield a net benefit of ₹1,51,81,250 per year to the company.

- (5) *Control:* Maintain quality at 6σ level and keep the production facilities updated.
 - (i) Training sessions with workers can serve as two way communication platform to detect other problems that can be resolved in more timely manner. Inputs received can also be used to improve the production work flow as well.
 - (ii) New function of quality planning and improvement can help the company be better informed about the latest production methodologies.
 - (iii) Updated machines are better equipped to handled changes in the production process since they are built with the latest technology. ASPL should do a continuous assessment of the state of its machines and upgrade them when necessary.
3. The incremental cost associated with the IMAX show appears to be ₹10,000 i.e. cost of running the show. The allocated fixed cost per show is not relevant because the total amount of fixed costs for the year will not change as a result of the special show. Further, the stated ticket prices are not relevant because the show will take place at 08:30 AM when the IMAX is not usually open – thus, the students will not be displacing any regular visitors. Based on the financial data provided, the minimum price quote appears to be ₹10,000.

Aayla should consider the following factors:

- Does the station have a souvenir shop and/or cafeteria?

If so, many students are likely to buy food and/or souvenir items, thereby increasing the station's contribution. In turn, this would reduce the minimum price quote.

- What is the impact on future revenue?

After seeing the show, many students may return with their parents, thereby increasing future revenue.

- Are there costs linked with the special showing that are not included in the ₹10,000 variable cost number?

For example, will the station have to pay an overtime premium.

Aayla should also consider the educational mission of the Planetarium Station. Such shows directly contribute to this mission, the station, and, hopefully, the betterment of the students. The special shows may be an excellent way to expose some students to earth science – these students may have never gone through the Planetarium Station if it were not for the school excursion.

Overall, the “best” price to charge is unclear and requires some judgment as Aayla needs to balance an array of financial and non-financial factors.

4. Internal Business Process Perspective

Objective: Cross-sell Products

Measure: Products Purchased *per customer*

Reason: Cross-selling, or encouragement customers to purchase additional products e.g. insurance, forex etc. is a *measure of customer satisfaction*. Only if a service is perceived as highly satisfactory the service would be repeated/ additional products or services would be accepted.

Learning and Growth Perspective

Objective: Increase the Number of New Products or Services Sold

Measure: Number of Customers Buying the New Products/ New Services

Reason: Long term financial success requires bank to create new products / services (e.g. internet banking, ATM access) that will meet emerging needs of current / future customers such as 24/7 banking.

Customer Perspective

Objective: Increase Customer Loyalty

Measure: Number of Accounts Closed or Closure Request Received

PAPER – 5 : STRATEGIC COST MANAGEMENT AND PERFORMANCE EVALUATION 21

Reason: Customer loyalty describes the extent to which bank maintains durable relations to its customers. The share of existing customers should have a high importance as it indicates about image and reputation. Closure request is not a good sign for bank. Bank should investigate reasons for the same and take appropriate actions to improve services offered to retain customers.



Other **Objectives** and **Measures** are also possible but they must relate to the bank's **Goal**.

5. Office processes often have huge amounts of paperwork and this not only makes processes slower but also allows errors to be introduced. 5S is a method of both cleaning out the working area and maintaining the cleanliness to improve process quality. The 5S process is based on:

Sort (Seiri)

This is sorting and removal of unnecessary files, papers, books and documents in the work area. Sorting is designed to make the work area neat, organized and arranged so that relevant items can be found easily. If an item is not relevant for the work, then it should not be in the work area.

Set in Order (Seiton)

Set in order means systematic arrangement of things i.e. arrange all necessary items into most efficient and accessible arrangement so that they can be easily be identified for use. It is advisable to have proper indexing of files and proper documentation i.e. proper index should be made and pasted on each file about its contents and in that pattern of contents, documents should be kept inside the files so that specific document can easily be traced and withdrawn on time. Even inside cupboard, paper of indexing about files with its name should be pasted so that specific file can easily be traced. Same can be done w.r.t. folders in computer, right file should be saved in right folder with identifiable name so that anyone can easily find any file. Frequent use items should be close by and infrequent use items can be further away in a central area. All storage areas should be clearly labeled to allow items to be put in the correct place, e.g. where did I leave the office stamp again?

Shine (Seiso)

After sorting and simplifying, it is necessary to keep the work area clean and safe. Shining is also an inspection process for the area, i.e. is everything in good condition. It is desirable to involve employees for 15-20 minutes each day to clean the work area so that they can have the habit of cleanness. In the same way, unimportant files either in desktop or any driver should be permanently deleted.

Standardize (Seiketsu)

A clean and tidy work area allows the process to be standardized and examined for quality or process improvements. Best practices are documented and rolled out across the work area, standards and process measures are established and displayed in the work area.

For example, red file can be standardized for very important files (can be required anytime), green file for important files and yellow file for unimportant files.

Sustain (Shitsuke)

It means to maintain discipline, this can only be achieved by auditing work areas and processes to make sure that the 5S standards are maintained. It is worthwhile to apply 5S standards continuously i.e. daily basis and check for any upgradation if needed, so that firm can have good management in terms of documentation, cleanness, time saving of partners as well as clients.

Overall, 5S in offices streamlines the work (low to reduce errors as well as improving process times) and employee satisfaction.

6. Total Quality Management is a management philosophy. It concerns itself with managing the processes and people to make sure that the customer is satisfied at each and every stage. This means *making the needs of the customer the priority, expanding the relationship beyond traditional services and incorporating the customer's needs in the company's business plan and corporate strategy*. In TQM, the concept of "quality" is perceived exclusively from the frame of reference of the customer. These customers can be internal, such as, those working in another department and there can be external customers who are the end recipients of the product or services. The organisation should attempt for continuous improvement in the quality that it delivers with the ultimate aim of achieving zero defects in this quality.

TQM should be view as an investment rather than as a cost that should be minimised. There are many ways in which investment can be made in TQM.:

- fine-tuning the product mix,
- fine-tuning of the processes of ensuring quality,
- introducing employee development programmes with the nature of an academic course,
- empowering the employees professionally and personally,
- improving the top management commitment to quality,
- monitoring of the performances and proper rewarding based on achievements,
- ensuring the customer satisfaction etc.

CIMZ could provide its employees with *training* in the technical aspects of banking practice as well as in customer care. Customers would thus get a better service not only technically but also from a customer care perspective. This should lead to smaller

PAPER – 5 : STRATEGIC COST MANAGEMENT AND PERFORMANCE EVALUATION 23

customer complaints and greater customer satisfaction. It could also motivate customers to recommend others to use this bank.

TQM also requires CIMZ to respond to its customer's requirements immediately for example by providing more staff to reduce the lengths of queues in festive/ seasonal/ busy time. If Bank could also be opened for longer hours to allow customers to complete their bank related requirements and have meetings with bank employees at a time that is more convenient for the customer, this would lead to more satisfaction to customers.

In long run, if bank continue to follow TQM, the bank would have higher profits and competitive advantage in banking sector despite incurring additional expenditure to improve quality.

7. Following acceptance by early innovators, conventional consumers start following their lead. New competitors are likely to now enter the market attracted by the opportunities for large scale production and profit. STL may wish to discourage competitors from entering the market by lowering the price and thereby lowering the unit profitability. The price needs to be lowered so that the product becomes attractive to different market segments thus increasing demand to achieve the growth in sales volume.

Strategies at this stage may include the following

- (i) Improving quality and adding new features such as Data Theft Protection, Parental Control, Web Protection, Improved Scan Engine, Anti Spyware, Anti Malware etc.
- (ii) Sourcing new market segments/ distribution channels.
- (iii) Changing marketing strategy to increase demand.
- (iv) Lowering price to attract price-sensitive buyers.

8. (i) **Customer Wise Profitability Statement and Overall Profitability Statement**

SN.	Particulars	PER	MGH	WLY	Total ₹
A	Sales (net proceeds) –Table 1	241,288	237,500	272,812	751,600
B	Variable Cost of Goods Sold @150 p.u.	1,50,000	1,42,500	1,87,500	4,80,000
C	Assignable- Marketing and Administration Cost - Table 2				
	• Order Taking and Processing	1,200	600	4,500	6,300
	• Sale Return Processing	150	-	1,200	1,350
	• Billing Cost	200	100	750	1,050
	• Customer Visit	800	-	4,000	4,800

	Total Assignable Marketing and Administration Cost	2,350	700	10,450	13,500
D	Assignable- Distribution Cost - Table 2				
	• Expedited / Rush Orders	250	-	1,250	1,500
	• Delivery Costs	8,000	4,000	-	12,000
	• Inventory Carrying Cost	10,000	9,500	12,500	32,000
	Total Assignable Distribution Cost	18,250	13,500	13,750	45,500
E	Non- Assignable Fixed Cost	-	-	-	100,000
F	Total Costs (B+C+D+E)	170,600	156,700	211,700	639,000
G	Net Profit (Step A - F)	70,688	80,800	61,112	112,600
H	Profit % of Sales (G / A)	29%	34%	22%	15%

Workings**Table 1: Customer Sales Analysis - Revenue Analysis**

All figures in ₹

Particulars	PER	MGH	WLY	Total ₹
Sales {Sale Units × Sale Price (gross)}	2,50,000	2,37,500	3,12,500	8,00,000
Less: Sale Return (Step 1 × Return%)	1,250	-	31,250	32,500
Net Sales	2,48,750	2,37,500	2,81,250	7,67,500
Less: Cash Discount	7,462	-	8,438	15,900
Net Proceeds	2,41,288	2,37,500	2,72,812	7,51,600
Final Collections vs Original Sale	97%	100%	87%	94%

Table 2: Assignable Marketing, Administrative and Distribution Costs

All figures in ₹

Particulars	PER	MGH	WLY	Total
Order Taking and Processing (# of orders × cost per order)	1,200	600	4,500	6,300
Expedited / Rush Orders (# of orders × cost per order)	250	-	1,250	1,500

PAPER – 5 : STRATEGIC COST MANAGEMENT AND PERFORMANCE EVALUATION 25

Delivery Costs (Distance in km. × cost per km)	8,000	4,000	-	12,000
Sale Return Processing (# of returns × cost per return)	150	-	1,200	1,350
Billing Cost (# of invoices × cost per invoice)	200	100	750	1,050
Customer Visit (# of customer visits × cost per visit)	800	-	4,000	4,800
Inventory Carrying Cost (# of units × inventory carrying cost p.u.)	10,000	9,500	12,500	32,000

- (ii) Customer strategy: It can be seen that Bookmark LLP has an overall profit of ₹112,600 or 15% of sales. While the performance is good, the firm's management has to analyze customer wise profitability.
- WLY is the largest customer in terms of units sold. However, Table 1 above shows that sale returns at 10%, which is unusually large compared to other customers. Bookmark LLP has to investigate why the returns are of such large quantity. Possibly, there could be communication gap between the firm and WLY. Possible non-conformity in goods delivered has resulted in returns. Only 87% of the original sale value is being collected. The root cause of the problem has to be identified and rectified. This will also reduce the sale return processing costs.
 - WLY has placed many rush orders, which requires Bookmark LLP to ship these orders immediately, using costlier means of transportation. Currently, there is no charge for shipping rush orders. In order to deter WLY from repeatedly placing rush orders, Bookmark LLP can charge the customer for shipping such orders beyond a threshold number of orders. Say rush orders beyond 2 orders will be charged to the customer.
 - WLY has placed 15 orders for 1,250 units. Comparatively, PER and MGH placed 4 and 2 orders for approximately 1,000 units each. WLY can be requested to place fewer orders with larger quantity per order, in order to optimize ordering cost.
 - Being the largest customer, WLY has 5 sale visits from Bookmark LLP, which is more than the other 2 customers. Priced at ₹800 per visit, this is very costly. At the same time, WLY is yielding the least profit. Therefore, Bookmark LLP should reassess if resources can be reallocated to the other two more

profitable customers. That may encourage more sales from higher yielding customers.

- (e) Since WLY seems to need more hand-holding in terms of more sales visits as well as higher rush orders, Bookmark LLP may assess if it wants to discontinue or reduce business. Alternatively, it may reassign these resources towards existing or newer customers to get better profitability. However, if WLY can be migrated to a higher profitability, Bookmark LLP need not lose out its market share.
- (f) Customer MGH is the most profitable yielding 34% return over sales, although in terms of 'Advanced Learner's Dictionary' ordered, it is the smallest of the three. Bookmark LLP can assess if it can extend some discount, in order to encourage more sales. Currently, Customer MGH does not get any discount.
- (g) Bookmark LLP can assign more sales visits to Customer PER and MGH to encourage them purchase more as well as provide high quality customer service.

9. (i) The current cost and profit per unit are calculated as below:

Cost Component	Units	Actual Cost p.a. for 10,000 racks (₹)	Actual Cost per rack (₹)
Revenue	10,000 racks	75,00,000	750
Direct Material	5,20,000 sq. ft.	20,00,000	200
Direct Labour	1,00,000 hrs.	10,00,000	100
Machine Setup	15,000 hrs.	1,50,000	15
Mechanical Assembly	200,000 hrs.	30,00,000	300
Total Cost		61,50,000	615
Profit		13,50,000	135

Therefore, the current cost is ₹615 p.u. while the profit is ₹135 p.u. Machine setup is the time required to get the machines and the assembly line ready for production. In this case, 15,000 hours spent on setting up does not add value to the storage racks directly. Hence, it is a non-value add activity.

- (ii) New sale price per rack is ₹675 per unit. The profit per unit needs to be maintained at ₹135 per unit. Hence, the new target cost per unit = new selling price per unit – required profit per unit = ₹675 - ₹135 = ₹540 per unit.
- (iii) As explained above, current cost per unit is ₹615 while the target cost per unit is ₹540. Hence, the cost has to be reduced at least by ₹75 per unit. Analysis of the cost data shows the variances between the budget and actual material usage and

PAPER – 5 : STRATEGIC COST MANAGEMENT AND PERFORMANCE EVALUATION 27

labor hours. It is given that the material procurement rate and labor hour rate is the same for budgets and actuals. Hence, the increment in cost of direct materials and labor is due to inefficient use of material and labor hours to complete the same level of production of 10,000 storage racks.

Corrective action to address these inefficiencies could result in the following savings:

- (a) Inefficiencies resulted in use of extra 20,000 sq. ft of material.

Material cost per sq. ft. = Actual cost / Actual material usage = ₹20,00,000 / 5,20,000 sq. ft = ₹3.85 per sq. ft.

Therefore, inefficiencies resulted in extra cost = 20,000 sq. ft. × ₹3.85 per sq. ft. = ₹77,000.

If corrective action is taken, for 10,000 racks this translates to a saving of ₹7.70 per unit.

- (b) Inefficiencies resulted in extra 10,000 hrs. to be spent in production.

Labor cost per hr. = Actual cost / Actual labor hrs. = ₹10,00,000 / 10,000 hrs. = ₹10 per hr.

Therefore, inefficiencies resulted in extra cost = 10,000 hrs. × ₹10 per hour = ₹100,000.

If corrective action is taken, for 10,000 racks this translates to a saving of ₹10 per unit.

- (c) Machine setup cost is a non-value added cost. Value analysis can be done to determine if the setup time of 15,000 hrs. can be reduced. However, since these activities have been carried out for a reason, care should be taken to ensure that this change should not adversely impact the production activity later down the stream.

- (d) Mechanical assembly cost is almost half of the total cost. These are costs incurred during the production process on the assembly line. Value analysis can be done to determine if the production process can be made more efficient. For example, the process can be streamlined, such that steps can be combined that can be handled by fewer people (process centering). Similarly, value analysis / value engineering can focus on the product design.

Some questions to raise may be:

- Can the product be designed better to make the production more efficient?
- Can the design be minimized to include fewer parts and thus make it easier and efficient to manufacture?
- Can be substitute parts to make it more efficient? Or

- Is there simply a better way of producing the same product?

While target costing is a dynamic and corrective approach, care must be taken that the product quality, characteristics and utility are maintained.

10. (i) Workings

Statement Showing 'Inventory Holding Cost' under Plan 1

Particulars	Pd. 1	Pd. 2	Pd. 3	Pd.4
Opening Inventory ... (A)	---	8,000	8,500	7,500
Add: Production	17,500	17,500	17,500	17,500
Less: Demand/ Sales	9,500	17,000	18,500	25,000
Closing Inventory ... (B)	8,000	8,500	7,500	---
Average Inventory $\left(\frac{A+B}{2} \right)$	4,000	8,250	8,000	3,750
Inventory Holding Cost @ ₹6.50	26,000	53,625	52,000	24,375

Inventory Holding Cost for the four periods = ₹1,56,000

(₹26,000 + ₹53,625 + ₹52,000 + ₹24,375)

Statement Showing 'Additional Cost-Overtime' under Plan 2 (JIT System)

Particulars	Pd. 1	Pd. 2	Pd. 3	Pd.4
Demand/ Sales	9,500	17,000	18,500	25,000
Production in Normal Time	9,500	17,000	18,000	18,000
Production in Over Time ... (A)	---	---	500	7,000
Variable Cost per unit	30.00	30.00	32.50	35.00
Additional Cost – Overtime per unit ... (B) (@ 30% of Variable Cost)	9.00	9.00	9.75	10.50
Additional Cost – Overtime ... (A) × (B)	---	---	4,875	73,500

Total Additional Payment (Overtime) = ₹78,375

(₹4,875 + ₹73,500)

Statement Showing 'Additional Variable Cost*' under Plan 2 (JIT System)

Particulars	Pd. 1	Pd. 2	Pd. 3	Pd.4	Total
Production (Plan 1)	17,500	17,500	17,500	17,500	70,000
Variable Cost ... (A)	5,25,000	5,25,000	5,68,750	6,12,500	22,31,250

PAPER – 5 : STRATEGIC COST MANAGEMENT AND PERFORMANCE EVALUATION 29

Production (Plan 2,JIT)	9,500	17,000	18,500	25,000	70,000
Variable Cost ... (B)	2,85,000	5,10,000	6,01,250	8,75,000	22,71,250
Total	...(B) – (A)				40,000

* *excluding overtime cost*

$$\begin{aligned}\text{Incremental Production Cost in JIT System} &= ₹78,375 + ₹40,000 \\ &= ₹1,18,375\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}\text{Therefore, Saving in JIT System (Net)} &= ₹1,56,000 - ₹1,18,375 \\ &= ₹37,625\end{aligned}$$

(ii) Advice

Though Revolution Ltd is saving ₹37,625 by changing its production system to Just-in-time but it has to consider *other factors* as well before taking any final call which are as follows:-

- Revolution Ltd has to ensure that it receives materials from its suppliers on the exact date and at the exact time when they are needed. Credentials and reliability of supplier must be thoroughly checked.
- To remove any quality issues, the engineering staff must visit supplier's sites and examine their processes, not only to see if they can reliably ship high-quality parts but also to provide them with engineering assistance to bring them up to a higher standard of product.
- Revolution Ltd should also aim to improve quality at its process and design levels with the purpose of achieving "Zero Defects" in the production process.
- Revolution Ltd should also keep in mind the efficiency of its work force. Revolution Ltd must ensure that labour's learning curve has reached at steady rate so that they are capable of performing a variety of operations at effective and efficient manner. The workforce must be completely retrained and focused on a wide range of activities.

11. (i) Transfer Price *per unit* of Product Z that Division A Should Quote *in order to meet Target Profit*

Quotation for the 40,000 units of product Z should be such that meet Division A's target profit and interest cost on working capital. Therefore the minimum quote for product Z will be calculated as follows:

Particulars	Amount (₹)
Target Profit (given for the year)	2,50,00,000
Add: Interest Cost on Working Capital (₹12,00,00,000 @11.5%)	1,38,00,000

Required Profit	3,88,00,000
Add: Fixed Overhead	4,00,00,000
Target Contribution	7,88,00,000
Less: Contribution Earned --- External Sales {60,000 units × (₹ 2,500 – ₹1,600)}	5,40,00,000
Contribution Required – Internal Sales	2,48,00,000
Contribution <i>per unit</i> of Product Z (₹ 2,48,00,000 ÷ 40,000 units)	620
Transfer Price of Product Z to Division B (Variable Cost <i>per unit</i> + Contribution <i>per unit</i>)	2,220

(ii) The Two Transfer Prices Based on Opportunity Costs

For the 30,000 units (i.e. maximum capacity – maximum external market demand) at variable cost of production i.e. ₹ 1,600 per unit.

For the next 10,000 units (i.e. external market demand – maximum possible sale) at market selling price i.e. ₹ 2,500 per unit.

12. Calculation of Loss of Time Per Shift

Mins.

Lunch Break	30
Tea Break	15
Breakdown, Repair, and Startup Time (68 mins / 2 Shift)	34
Total Time Loss Per Shift	79

$$\text{Availability Ratio per shift} = \left\{ \frac{480 \text{ mins.} - 79 \text{ mins.}}{480 \text{ mins.}} \right\} \times 100\%$$

$$= 83.54 \%$$

$$\text{Actual Production} = 140 \text{ tablets per shift}$$

$$\text{Standard time} = 2.5 \text{ minutes}$$

$$\text{Standard Time Required} = 140 \text{ units} \times 2.5 \text{ minutes}$$

$$= 350 \text{ minutes}$$

$$\text{Actual Time Taken} = 480 \text{ mins.} - 79 \text{ mins.}$$

$$= 401 \text{ minutes}$$

$$\text{Performance Ratio} = \left\{ \frac{350 \text{ mins.}}{401 \text{ mins.}} \right\} \times 100\%$$

PAPER – 5 : STRATEGIC COST MANAGEMENT AND PERFORMANCE EVALUATION 31

$$\begin{aligned}
 &= 87.28\% \\
 \text{Quality Ratio} &= \left\{ \frac{140 \text{ tab.} - 25 \text{ tab.}}{140 \text{ tab.}} \right\} \times 100\% \\
 &= 82.14\% \\
 \text{Thus, OEE} &= 0.8354 \times 0.8728 \times 0.8214 \\
 &= 59.89\%
 \end{aligned}$$

Since OEE of SSK Pharmaceuticals Ltd. is lesser than 85 % i.e. World Class Performance Level, Company is advised to improve its each ratio i.e. availability ratio, performance ratio and quality ratio by collecting information related to all downtime and losses on machines, analyzing such information through graphs and charts, making improvement decisions thereon like autonomous maintenance, preventive maintenance, reduction in set up time etc. and implementing the same.

13. This questions shows that RI is subject to a **size effect** but ROI is not. The larger size for the W_1 Division (which is more than 6 times that of the W_2 Division) overcomes its lower profitability, as measured by ROI. Thus, RI is not a good way to compare divisions that differ greatly on size.

Workings

	W_1 (₹)	W_2 (₹)	Remark
ROI	12.50% (₹1,20,00,000 / ₹9,60,00,000)	20.00% (₹31,20,000 / ₹1,56,00,000)	W_2 division has the higher ROI.
RI	₹24,00,000 (₹1,20,00,000 – 0.1 × ₹9,60,00,000)	₹15,60,000 (₹31,20,000 – 0.1 × ₹1,56,00,000)	W_1 division has the higher RI.

14. (i) **Identification of Bottleneck:** Installation of cameras is the bottleneck in the operation cycle. The annual capacity for manufacturing and installation are given to be 750 camera units and 500 camera units respectively. Actual capacity utilization is 500 camera units, which is the maximum capacity for the installation process. Although, ZPS can additionally manufacture 250 camera units, it is constrained by the maximum units that can be installed. Therefore, the number of units manufactured is limited to 500 camera units, subordinating to the bottleneck installation operation. Therefore, ZPS should focus on improving the installation process.
- (ii) **Improving Capacity of Installation Technique:** Every camera sold increases the through put contribution by ₹1,500 per camera unit (sale price ₹2,500 per camera unit less direct material cost ₹1,000 per camera unit). By improving the current installation technique an additional 50 camera units can be sold and installed. This

would involve total additional expenditure of ₹40,000. Hence, the incremental benefit would be:

Particulars	Amount (₹)
Increase in throughput contribution (additional 50 camera units ₹1,500 per camera unit)	75,000
Less: Increase in total expenditure	40,000
Incremental benefit	35,000

Since the annual incremental benefit is ₹35,000 per annum, ZPS should implement this improvement to installation technique, the current bottleneck operation.

- (iii) **Improving Manufacturing Capacity:** Every camera sold increases the throughput contribution by ₹1,500 per camera unit (sale price ₹2,500 per camera unit less direct material cost ₹1,000 per camera unit). By improving the current manufacturing technique an additional 150 camera units can produced. This would involve a cost ₹100 per camera unit due to necessary changes to made in direct materials. Therefore, number of units manufactured can increase to 650 camera units. However, production of 150 camera units will not translate into additional sales, because each sale also requires installation by ZPS. In a year only 500 camera installations can be made, leading to an inventory pile up of 150 camera units. This is detrimental to ZPS, since it does not earn any contribution by holding inventory. Therefore, ZPS should not go ahead with the proposal to improve the manufacturing technique.

15. (i) **Statement Showing Sales Margin Mix Variance**

System	Standard Margin per unit (₹)	Actual Qty. (units)	Revised Actual Quantity (units)	Difference (₹)	Variance (₹)
3,000 W PMPO	₹6,250	1,500	1,400	+100	+6,25,000 (F)
5,000 W PMPO	₹23,750	600	700	-100	23,75,000 (A)
Total		2,100			17,50,000 (A)

Statement Showing Sales Margin Volume Variance

System	Standard Margin per unit (₹)	Actual Qty. (units)	Budgeted Quantity (units)	Difference (₹)	Variance (₹)
3,000 W PMPO	₹6,250	1,500	1,500	0	-
5,000 W PMPO	₹23,750	600	750	-150	35,62,500 (A)
Total		2,100			35,62,500 (A)

PAPER – 5 : STRATEGIC COST MANAGEMENT AND PERFORMANCE EVALUATION 33

- (ii) A Planning Variance simply compares a revised standard (that should or would have been used if planners had known in advance what was going to happen) to the original standard. A planning variance is considered as not to be controllable by management.

The market size is not within the control of the sales manager and therefore variances caused by changes in the market size would be regarded as planning variances.

However, variances caused by changes in the selling prices and consequently the selling price variances and market shares would be within the control of the sales manager and treated as *operating variances*.

The *market size variance* compares the original and revised market sizes. This is unchanged for 3,000 W PMPO Systems so the only variance that occurs relates to the 5,000 W PMPO Systems and is ₹ 59,37,500 (F) [250 systems × ₹23,750].

It is vital to make this distinction because as can be seen from the scenario the measurement of the 'K's performance is incomplete if the revised market size is ignored.

The favourable volume variance of ₹23,75,000 referred to in the 'K's e-mail is made up of two elements, one of which, the market size, is a planning variance which is outside his control. It is this that has caused the overall volume variance to be favourable, and thus 'K' is not responsible for the overall favourable performance.